

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

12

13

In re:

14

PG&E CORPORATION

15

- and -

16

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC  
COMPANY,

17

Debtors.

18

Affects Both Debtors  
 Affects PG&E Corporation  
 Affects Pacific Gas and Electric  
Company

19

20

21

22

23

Case No. 19-30088 (DM) (Lead Case)

Chapter 11

(Jointly Administered)

**NOTICE OF JOINDER BY CLAIMANT IN  
SECURITIES LEAD PLAINTIFF PERA'S  
OBJECTION TO REORGANIZED  
DEBTORS' MOTION TO APPROVE  
SECURITIES ADR AND RELATED  
PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING  
SUBORDINATED SECURITIES CLAIMS**

24

25

26

27

28

1                   Nantahala Capital Partners II Limited Partnership (“**Claimant**”), the holder of a  
2 Securities Claim<sup>1</sup> and a creditor in the chapter 11 bankruptcy cases (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”) of  
3 the above-captioned reorganized debtors (the “**Debtors**”, or as reorganized pursuant to the Plan,  
4 the “**Reorganized Debtors**”), hereby submits this Notice of Joinder (the “**Joinder**”) to *Securities*  
5 *Lead Plaintiff’s Objection to Reorganized Debtors’ Motion to Approve Securities ADR and*  
6 *Related Procedures for Resolving Subordinated Securities Claims* (the “**Securities ADR**  
7 **Objection**”) in opposition to *Reorganized Debtors’ Motion to Approve Securities ADR and*  
8 *Related Procedures for Resolving Subordinated Securities Claims* [Docket No. 8964] (the  
9 “**Securities ADR Motion**”). In support of this Joinder, Claimant respectfully states as follows:

10

11                   **JOINDER AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS**

12                   1. The above-named Claimant hereby joins the Securities ADR Objection filed by the  
13 Public Employees Retirement Association of New Mexico’s (“Securities Lead Plaintiff” or  
14 “PERA”) on September 28, 2020. Claimant joins the Securities ADR Objection in full, for all  
15 the reasons stated therein, and reserves all rights.

16                   2. In addition to all the reasons stated in the Securities ADR Objection, which Claimant  
17 hereby incorporates by reference and joins, Claimant underscores that Debtors’ proposal outlined  
18 in their Securities ADR Motion is transparently unfair because it increases Reorganized Debtors’  
19 bargaining power for resolving the very wrongdoing for which they are accused, including by  
20 granting Reorganized Debtors inappropriate authority over the selection of, payment to, and  
21 submissions before proposed mediators. In the event that the Court adopts some form of ADR  
22 process, we believe it is imperative that the Court select a nationally-recognized mediator  
23 experienced in securities damages matters, who will be compensated by all parties. The unfair  
24 and inefficient aspects of the present Securities ADR Motion are incurable.

---

25

26                   <sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Securities  
27 ADR Objection (as defined herein) and the Securities ADR Motion (as defined herein), as  
applicable.

28

## CONCLUSION

For all of the foregoing reasons, Claimant respectfully joins Securities Lead Plaintiff's request that this Court enter an order denying the Securities ADR Motion, as well as granting such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: October 5, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

**NANTAHALA CAPITAL PARTNERS II LIMITED  
PARTNERSHIP**

By: Nantahala Capital Management, LLC  
Its General Partner

DocuSigned by:

Name: Wilmot Harkey  
Title: Manager